(4)

C. S. P.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G)

MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO. 540/2004

APPELLANT
CLAIMANT

(1) Gufendra Singh S/o Late Daleshwar Singh Bas Aged about-18 years,
(2) Govind Singh Bas S/o Late Duleshwar Singh Bas Aged about 14 years, Represented hereby legal Guadian Respondent No.1,
(3) Smt. Indira Bai W/o Late Yashkaran Bas Aged about 75 years, Respondent No.1 &2 R/o – ward No. 12, Mahasamund C.G. Respondent No.3, R/o of Village Sonamagar Police Station– Sihava, Distt– Dhamatari C.G.

VERSUS

RESPONDENT
NON APPLICANTS

(1) Madhusudan Vishwakarma S/o Sampat Lal Vishwakarma, Aged about – 28 years, R/o Village Tendukona, Police Station– Pithora, Distt – Mahasamund C.G.
(2) Purshottam patel S/o Panchanand PatelAged about– 39 years, R/o Village Basti Baghbahara, Police Station– Baghbahara, Distt– Mahasamund C.G.
(3) The New India Insurance Company Limited Madina Building Raipur C.G.

P. R. No. 1062/04
Presented by Shri B. C. Verma
dated 22-4-04

Miscellaneous Appeal under/section 173 Motor Vehicle Act 1988

XI-HC-22

(22)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक MA 540/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	D.B.: **Hon'ble Shri Fakhruddin and Hon'ble Shri L.C. Bhadoo, JJ.** **1-11-2004** Shri S. C. Verma, Advocate for the appellant(s). Heard. The case is listed for orders on default. Learned counsel for the appellant(s) prays for time to remove the default. As prayed, two weeks' time is granted to remove the default. If the default is not removed within the stipulated time, the appeal shall be dismissed automatically without further reference to the Bench. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge Barve	

[पीछे देखिये

M.A. 540/04.

M.A. No. 540/2004 was listed before the Hon'ble court on 1.11.2004 and on that date Hon'ble court ordered that two weeks' time is grantd to remove the default. If the default is not removed within the stipulated time, the appeal shall be dismissed automatically without further reference to the Bench.

In compliance of the above order dated 1.11.2004 the appellant was required to file an application for condonation of delay in filing appeal within two weeks' from the date of order i..e. on or before 15.11.2004, but counsel for the appellant has file the same on 18.11.2004, which is not within the stipulated period as granted by the Hon'ble court.

Under these circumstances, in the light of peremptory order dated 1.11.2004 of the Hon'ble Court the appeal stood dismissed.

Counsel be informed accordingly.

Sd/-
AR(J)

Section Officer(Civil)

D.A

6.12.04
S.O.

9/12/04
S.C. for Govt.
Advt.

P.T.O.